SUMMONS - CIVIL

JD-CV-1 Rev. 4-16 C.G.S. §§ 51-346, 51-347, 51-349, 51-350, 52-45a, 52-48, 52-259, P.B. §§ 3-1 through 3-21, 8-1, 10-13

See	other	ahis	for	inetru	ctions

See other sid	de for instructions								
— "X" if amo	ount legal interest or	nranarhe in	domand wat had all				.650428965		
COSIS IS IE						pt Nbr:	0402458		
X" if amc	Dunt, legal interest or property in demand, not including interest and AMOUNTS: \$					t: \$36	0.00		
— СОЗІЗ ІЗ Ф	2,500 or more.								
	ming other relief in ac	ddition to or	in lieu of money or da	amages.	List	Total:	001 \$360.0	0	
TO: Any propo	r officer DV ALITUO	DITY 05 TI	/= 071mm on oo						
	and adding Compi	ian it.	HE STATE OF CONN		ou are hereby com	manded	to make due	and legal ser	vice of
(U.G.S. 99 51-346	5, 51-350)		filed (Number, street, town	n and zip code)	Telephone number of of (with area code)	lerk	Return Date (Must be a Tueso	lay)
	on Street, Hartford,	C 06106			(860) 548-2700		November		, 2 016
X Judicial Distri	ict G.A	4	At (Town in which writ is	returnable) (C.G	.S. §§ 51-346, 51-349)		Month Case type code	See list on pa	Year ge 2)
Housing Sess		nber:	Hartford				Major: T	Minor:	
For the Plair	ntiff(s) please ent	er the app	earance of:			-	1	141111011	
Name and address	s of attorney, law firm or pl	laintiff if self-reg	presented (Number, street,	town and zin co	odel		lucia numbaa "		
Silver Golub	& Teitell LLP, 184 A	Atlantic Stre	et, Stamford, CT 06	901	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		058005	be entered by atto	imey only)
Telephone number (with area code) Signature of Plaintiff (If self-represented)					030003				
(203) 325-4	491		•	,					
The attorney or lav	w firm appearing for the pla	aintiff, or the pla	aintiff if	En	nail address for delivery o	f papers u	inder Section 10-1	3 (if agreed to)	
self-represented, a this case under Se	agrees to accept papers (section 10-13 of the Connec	ervice) electron ticut Practice B	ically in X Yes Cook.	1 NI m	lager@sgtlaw.cor				
Number of Plain	tiffs: 1	Number of De	efendants: 2	For	n JD-CV-2 attache	d for add	ditional parties	·	
Parties	Name (Last, Firs	st, Middle Init	tial) and Address of Ea						IICA)
First	Name: John Doe					.,	, otato, Ep, o	ountry, it not	P-01
Plaintiff	Address:								1 -01
Additional	Name: Address:							······································	P-02
Plaintiff									
First Defendant	Name: Connectic Address: Donald R.	ut Rivers C Hall, 70 Fe	council, Inc., Boy So rest Street, Hartford	outs of Ame	erica, by serving in	s agent	for service i	n hand;	D-01
Additional	Name: Boy Scout	ts of Ameri	ca Corporation 133	E W. Walnut	Ψω υπκυ Λ	M. B	HOL MARITY	xx, 4	
Defendant	Address: service: S	ecretary of	ca Corporation, 132 the State of Connec	cticut, 30 Tri	nity Street. Hartfo	ng, IX / rd. CT (/5038 c/o its 16106	agent for	D-02
Additional	Name:								D 02
Defendant	Address:								D-03
Additional	Name:						·		D-04
Defendant	Address:								D-04
Notice to E	ach Defendan	ıt							
1. YOU ARE BEI	ING SUED. This paper	is a Summon	s in a lawsuit. The com	nlaint attached	to these names state	ا- مااهم	: 4t -4 ·		
against you in	this lawsuit.		o in a lawould the Com	hiairit attacileo	to triese papers state	s the cla	ims that each p	laintiff is makir	ıg

- 2. To be notified of further proceedings, you or your attorney must file a form called an "Appearance" with the clerk of the above-named Court at the above Court address on or before the second day after the above Return Date. The Return Date is not a hearing date. You do not have to come to court on the Return Date unless you receive a separate notice telling you to come to court.
- 3. If you or your attorney do not file a written "Appearance" form on time, a judgment may be entered against you by default. The "Appearance" form may be obtained at the Court address above or at www.jud.ct.gov under "Court Forms."
- 4. If you believe that you have insurance that may cover the claim that is being made against you in this lawsuit, you should immediately contact your insurance representative. Other action you may have to take is described in the Connecticut Practice Book which may be found in a superior court law library or on-line at www.jud.ct.gov under "Court Rules."
- 5. If you have questions about the Summons and Complaint, you should talk to an attorney quickly. The Clerk of Court is not allowed to give advice on legal questions.

Signed (Sign and "X" proper box		Commissioner of the Superior Court Assistant Clerk	Name of Person Signing Paul A. Slager	at Left	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
If this Summons is signed by a. The signing has been done b. It is the responsibility of the c. The Clerk is not permitted t d. The Clerk signing this Sum in the Summons, any allegations.	For Court Use Only				
I certify I have read and understand the above:	Signed (Self-Represented Pi	laintiff)		Date 09/27/2016	Docket Number 6-5042896

DOCKET NO.: : SUPERIOR COURT

:

JOHN DOE

v. : JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF

HARTFORD

CONNECTICUT RIVERS COUNCIL, INC., BOY: AT HARTFORD

SCOUTS OF AMERICA, and

BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA CORPORATION : SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

COMPLAINT

FIRST COUNT: (As to defendants Connecticut Rivers Council, Inc., Boy Scouts of America)

- 1. Prior to bringing this action, plaintiff John Doe (the "plaintiff") sought and obtained an *ex parte* Order pursuant to Practice Book § 11-20A(h)(2) the Superior Court of Connecticut, Judicial District of Hartford at Hartford, granting him permission to use the pseudonym John Doe for purposes of filing the present action.
- 2. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, plaintiff was a minor resident of the Town of Ledyard, New London, Connecticut.
- 3. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, Boy Scouts of America Corporation ("BSA") was a Texas corporation with its principal offices in Irving, Texas. BSA was and is today an organization that initiates, charters, authorizes and regulates the formation and operation of Boy Scout councils throughout the United States, including in Connecticut. BSA and its local

councils provide various youth programs, including programs designed to build character and leadership skills.

- 4. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, defendant the Connecticut Rivers Council, Inc., Boy Scouts of America (the "Connecticut Rivers Council") was a Connecticut Corporation with its principal place of business in East Hartford, Connecticut. The Connecticut Rivers Council was chartered and authorized by BSA to provide, and did provide, various Boy Scouting youth programs, including programs designed to build character and leadership skills.
- 5. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, BSA provided policies, procedures and guidelines to its chartered local Boy Scout councils, including the Connecticut Rivers Council, regarding criteria and information BSA considered necessary for the local councils to consider when selecting, training and supervising individuals to serve as Boy Scout troop leaders.
- 6. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, BSA provided policies, procedures and guidelines to its chartered local Boy Scout councils, including the Connecticut Rivers Council, about how to conduct Boy Scout activities, including those policies, procedures and guidelines it considered necessary to protect minor participants from harm while they participated in Boy Scout activities.

- 7. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, the Connecticut Rivers

 Council was required by BSA to follow BSA's policies, procedures, and guidelines regarding the selection and supervision of Boy Scout leaders, as well as the operation of local Boy Scout councils.
- 8. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, BSA undertook to provide and did provide policies, procedures and guidelines that it considered necessary to protect participants, including minors, from harm while they participated in Boy Scout activities.
- 9. Local Boy Scout councils, including the Connecticut Rivers Council, relied on BSA policies, procedures and guidelines to protect participants from injury while they participated in Boy Scout activities.
- 10. BSA also provided its local councils, including the Connecticut Rivers Council, with program development and evaluation, camp and office planning and professional personnel support that it considered necessary for conducting successful Boy Scout programs. BSA's local councils, in turn, provided BSA with funds to support the national organization of BSA.
- 11. For more than forty years before John Doe joined the Boy Scouts, BSA had been aware of numerous instances across the country of sexual misconduct by adult Boy Scout troop leaders directed towards minor Boy Scout members.

- 12. For more than forty years before John Doe joined the Boy Scouts, BSA maintained files, which BSA referred to as "the Confidential Files," or the "Ineligible Volunteer Files" (the "Confidential Files").
- 13. The Confidential Files included, among other things, information on suspected instances of child sexual abuse by Boy Scout troop leaders, as well as other alleged sexual misconduct during Boy Scout activities across the United States.
- 14. As a result of maintaining the Files, BSA was aware of many hundreds of instances of alleged sexual misconduct involving Boy Scout troop leaders that were reported to BSA before the mid-1990's.
- 15. BSA kept the Files locked in its national headquarters, and strictly confidential from its local councils, its Boy Scout youth participants, the parents of youth participants and the general public.
- 16. In the late 1980's, to address the issue of sexual abuse taking place in Boy Scout activities, BSA implemented Youth Protection Guidelines intended to train and educate volunteer leaders, parents and youth Boy Scouts how to best protect Scouts from the dangers of child sexual abuse during Boy Scout activities.
- 17. As part of its Youth Protection Guidelines, BSA established a "two-deep" leadership policy, which prohibited single adult Boy Scout leaders from being alone with a non-relative youth Boy Scouts during Scouting activities.

- 18. As part of its Youth Protection Guidelines, BSA also prohibited one-on-one activities involving adults and non-relative youth Boy Scouts during Scout activities.
- 19. As part of its Youth Protection Guidelines, BSA provided local councils, including the Connecticut Rivers Council, as well as parents and youth Boy Scouts with information about the dangers of sexual abuse.
- 20. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, the Connecticut Rivers

 Council selected individuals to serve as its Boy Scout adult troop leaders and scout masters, and

 BSA reviewed and approved each individual chosen by Connecticut Rivers Council to serve as
 an adult Boy Scout leader.
- 21. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, the Connecticut Rivers

 Council, pursuant to BSA's programming requirements, also provided training to each individual selected to serve as a Boy Scout adult troop leader and monitored the actions and performance of each individual who served as a Boy Scout adult troop leader.
- 22. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability against defendants, the Connecticut Rivers Council provided its participants with a variety of Boy Scout activities, which were sanctioned by BSA, and which were organized and operated pursuant to BSA policies, procedures and guidelines.
- 23. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability against defendants, Joseph Dabrow was a resident of the Town of Ledyard, New London County, Connecticut who served as

a Boy Scout Scoutmaster and/or adult troop leader of a Boy Scout troop in the Connecticut Rivers Council known as Troop 42 ("Troop 42"), which held regular meetings in Ledyard, Connecticut.

- 24. Under the guidance and with the assistance of BSA, the Connecticut Rivers

 Council selected Dabrow to be a Boy Scout adult troop leader, trained Dabrow on how to

 properly serve as a Boy Scout adult troop leader, and monitored Dabrow's performance and role
 as a Boy Scout adult leader and interactions with youth Boy Scout members.
- 25. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, Troop 42 and its adult leaders, including Dabrow, were authorized, sanctioned and recognized as Boy Scout adult troop leaders by both BSA and the Connecticut Rivers Council, and were subject to the supervision, policies, procedures and guidelines of BSA and the Connecticut Rivers Council.
- 26. At all times relevant to plaintiffs claims of liability, Boy Scout adult leaders in the Troop 42, including Dabrow, were employees, volunteers, agents, apparent agents and/or authorized representatives of BSA and the Connecticut Rivers Council.
- 27. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, the Connecticut Rivers

 Council was responsible for overseeing and monitoring Dabrow's participation in Boy Scout

 activities, including but not limited to, ensuring that Dabrow followed BSA policies, procedures

 and guidelines, and that he did not intentionally injure any Boy Scout members.

- 28. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, BSA and the Connecticut Rivers Council also encouraged adult troop leaders, including Dabrow, to interact closely with minor children who were Boy Scout troop members, and to supervise and lead various Boy Scout programs and outings.
- 29. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, Troop 42 owned a home located at 56 Highland Drive, Ledyard, Connecticut (the "Home"), which served both as the clubhouse for Troop 42 where regular troop meetings took place and as a residence for Dabrow.
- 30. Connecticut Rivers Council knew or should have known that Troop 42 owned the Home during this time period and that the Home was used by Troop 42 for Boy Scout meetings and activities.
- 31. At all times relevant to plaintiff's claims of liability, Connecticut Rivers Council also knew or should have known that Dabrow lived at the Home during the time he was the Troop 42 Scoutmaster.
- 32. By authorizing and allowing Dabrow to function as a Boy Scout adult leader and by encouraging private interactions between Dabrow and minor Boy Scout participants in and outside of the Home, BSA and the Connecticut Rivers Council represented to their minor participants, including plaintiff, and to the families of Boy Scout participants that Dabrow was fit, qualified and competent to serve as an adult leader of minor Boy Scout participants and to

provide minors with instruction and guidance according to the values and goals espoused by BSA and the Fairfield County Council.

- 33. Plaintiff John Doe was a minor child who was a Boy Scout member of Boy Scout Troop 42, in the mid to late-1990's.
- 34. During the time period that John Doe was a member of Troop 42, Dabrow served as an assistant scoutmaster, scoutmaster, and/or troop leader of Troop 42.
- 35. As part of its youth programs during the mid to late-1990's, Troop 42, with the knowledge and approval of the Connecticut Rivers Council, organized and sanctioned troop meetings and events attended by adult leaders and minor Boy Scout members, many of which occurred at the Home. During these meetings and events, Dabrow participated as a Boy Scout adult leader and John Doe attended as a minor Boy Scout member.
- 36. More than once after Boy Scout meetings or events at the Highland Drive address Dabrow was permitted to be alone with the plaintiff at the Home, during which time Dabrow showed John Doe pornography and sexually assaulted and battered John Doe.
- 37. As part of its youth programs in the mid to late-1990's, the Connecticut Rivers Council and Troop 42 provided training and activities to its youth Boy Scout participants, including John Doe, which included recreational swimming at the United States Naval Submarine Base pool in New London, Connecticut.

- 38. On multiple occasions during the mid to late-1990's, Dabrow, in his capacity as a Boy Scout adult leader, instructed and encouraged John Doe to engage in such activities as part of his participation in Boy Scout activities.
- 39. On at least one occasion, Dabrow was permitted to be alone with John Doe while on a trip to the Naval Submarine Base pool, during which time Dabrow sexually assaulted and battered plaintiff.
- 40. At the time Dabrow sexually assaulted and battered John Doe as outlined above, John Doe was approximately 11-13 years old.
- 41. The Connecticut Rivers Council, by its employees, agents, volunteers, apparent agents and/or representatives, was negligent in failing to take appropriate measures to ensure the safety and well-being of the children, including John Doe, who participated in Boy Scout activities and in failing to take adequate steps to prevent John Doe from being sexually assaulted and battered by Dabrow.
- 42. By authorizing and/or permitting Dabrow to reside in the Troop 42 clubhouse, the very same building where official Troop 42 meetings took place, the Connecticut Rivers Council increased the risk that minor Boy Scouts like John Doe would be injured.
- 43. By authorizing and/or permitting Dabrow to be alone with John Doe on trips to the naval submarine base, the Connecticut Rivers Council increased the risk that minor Boy Scouts like John Doe would be injured.

- 44. As a result of the negligence of the Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing him to suffer serious and permanent physical injury, invasion and damages, as well as extensive permanent emotional and psychological injuries arising directly from the physical injury and invasion he suffered.
- 45. As a further result of the negligence of Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe has suffered and will continue to suffer significant loss in the enjoyment of his life's activities.
- 46. As a further result of the negligence of Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe has suffered extreme disruption in his interactions and relationships with other people and in the way he functions in the world.
- 47. As a further result of the negligence of Connecticut Rivers Council, plaintiff has suffered economic losses.

SECOND COUNT: (As to defendant Connecticut Rivers Council, Inc., Boy Scouts of America)

- 1 43. Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the First Count are incorporated here as paragraphs 1 through 43 of this, the Second Count.
- 44. As a result of the negligence of the Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing him severe emotional distress, resulting in illness and bodily harm.
- 45. The Connecticut Rivers Council should have realized that its negligent conduct posed an unreasonable risk of causing John Doe to suffer severe emotional distress, and should

have understood that such emotional distress might result in illness and/or bodily injury.

- 46. The Connecticut Rivers Council's negligence was extreme and outrageous.
- 47. As a result of the negligence of the Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing him to suffer serious and permanent physical injury, invasion and damages, as well as extensive permanent emotional and psychological injuries arising directly from the physical injury and invasion he suffered.
- 48. As a further result of the negligence of Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe has suffered and will continue to suffer significant losses in the enjoyment of his life's activities.
- 49. As a further result of the negligence of Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe has suffered extreme disruption in his interactions and relationships with other people and in the way he functions in the world.
- 50. As a further result of the negligence of Connecticut Rivers Council, John Doe has suffered economic losses.

THIRD COUNT: (As to defendant Connecticut Rivers Council, Inc., Boy Scouts of America)

- 1 43. Paragraphs 1 through 43 of the Second Count are incorporated here as paragraphs 1 through 43 of this, the Third Count.
- 44. Before Dabrow assaulted John Doe, Connecticut Rivers Council was aware of the dangers of sexual misconduct in Boy Scout activities. Despite this knowledge, Connecticut Rivers Council did not take appropriate precautions to protect John Doe from becoming a victim

of sexual misconduct, and instead permitted the adult Scoutmaster Dabrow to meet with youth Boy Scout participants in a meeting place where Dabrow lived, which provided a high risk environment for sexual victimization of youth.

- 45. The conduct of the Connecticut Rivers Council in failing to take appropriate measures to ensure the safety and well-being of children and in failing to take adequate steps to prevent the sexual assault and battery of children, including John Doe, was willful, wanton and/or in reckless disregard of the safety and well-being of others.
- 46. As a result of the recklessness of the Connecticut Rivers Council, plaintiff was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing plaintiff to suffer serious and permanent physical injury, invasion and damages, as well as extensive permanent emotional and psychological injuries arising directly from the physical injury and invasion he suffered.
- 47. As a further result of the recklessness of Connecticut Rivers Council, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer significant losses in the enjoyment of his life's activities.
- 48. As a further result of the recklessness of Connecticut Rivers Council, plaintiff has suffered extreme disruption in his interactions and relationships with other people and in the way plaintiff functions in the world.
- 49. As a further result of the recklessness of Connecticut Rivers Council, plaintiff has suffered economic losses.

- 50. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages against Connecticut Rivers Council, as a result of the willful, wanton and reckless conduct of Connecticut Rivers Council.

 FOURTH COUNT: (As to Boy Scouts of America)
- 1 40. Paragraphs 1 through 40 of the First Count are incorporated here as paragraphs 1 through 40 of this, the Fourth Count.
- 41. BSA, by its employees, agents, volunteers, apparent agents and/or representatives, was negligent in failing to take adequate measures to ensure the safety and well-being of the children, including John Doe, who participated in Boy Scout activities and in failing to take adequate steps to prevent John Doe from being sexually assaulted and battered by Dabrow.
- 42. By failing to share information BSA had accumulated over decades about the nature and incidence of sexual abuse in Boy Scout activities with its local councils, including the Connecticut Rivers Council, and by otherwise failing to adequately assist local councils in preventing child sexual abuse in Boy Scout activities, BSA increased the risk that minor Boy Scouts like John Doe would be injured.
- 43. As a result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing him to suffer serious and permanent physical injury, invasion and damages, as well as extensive permanent emotional and psychological injuries arising directly from the physical injury and invasion he suffered.

- 44. As a further result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe has suffered and will continue to suffer significant loss in the enjoyment of his life's activities.
- 45. As a further result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe has suffered extreme disruption in his interactions and relationships with other people and in the way he functions in the world.
- 46. As a further result of the negligence of BSA, plaintiff has suffered economic losses.

FIFTH COUNT: (As to Boy Scouts of America)

- 1 42. Paragraphs 1 through 42 of the Fourth Count are incorporated here as paragraphs1 through 42 of this, the Fifth Count.
- 44. As a result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing him severe emotional distress, resulting in illness and bodily harm.
- 45. BSA should have realized that its negligent conduct posed an unreasonable risk of causing John Doe to suffer severe emotional distress, and should have understood that such emotional distress might result in illness and/or bodily injury.
 - 46. BSA's negligence was extreme and outrageous.
- 47. As a result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing him to suffer serious and permanent physical injury, invasion

and damages, as well as extensive permanent emotional and psychological injuries arising directly from the physical injury and invasion he suffered.

- 48. As a further result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe has suffered and will continue to suffer significant losses in the enjoyment of his life's activities.
- 49. As a further result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe has suffered extreme disruption in his interactions and relationships with other people and in the way he functions in the world.
- 50. As a further result of the negligence of BSA, John Doe has suffered economic losses.

SIXTH COUNT: (As to Boy Scouts of America)

- 1 42. Paragraphs 1 through 42 of the Fourth Count are incorporated here as paragraphs 1 through 42 of this, the Sixth Count.
- 43. Before Dabrow assaulted John Doe, BSA was aware of the dangers of sexual misconduct in Boy Scout activities. Despite this knowledge, BSA did not take appropriate precautions to protect John Doe from becoming a victim of sexual misconduct, and instead concealed information about widespread sexual abuse during Boy Scout activities from its local councils.
- 44. The conduct of the BSA in failing to take appropriate measures to ensure the safety and well-being of children and in failing to take adequate steps to prevent the sexual assault and

battery of children, including John Doe, was willful, wanton and/or in reckless disregard of the safety and well-being of others.

- 45. As a result of the recklessness of BSA, plaintiff was sexually assaulted and battered multiple times by Dabrow, causing plaintiff to suffer serious and permanent physical injury, invasion and damages, as well as extensive permanent emotional and psychological injuries arising directly from the physical injury and invasion he suffered.
- 46. As a further result of the recklessness of BSA, plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer significant losses in the enjoyment of his life's activities.
- 47. As a further result of the recklessness of BSA, plaintiff has suffered extreme disruption in his interactions and relationships with other people and in the way plaintiff functions in the world.
- 48. As a further result of the recklessness of BSA, plaintiff has suffered economic losses.
- 49. Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages against BSA, as a result of the willful, wanton and reckless conduct of BSA.

THE PLAINTIFF,

BY

PAUL A. SLAGER MICHAEL R. KENNEDY SILVER GOLUB & TEITELL LLP 184 ATLANTIC STREET STAMFORD, CT 06901

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

The Plaintiff claims compensatory and punitive damages, as well as attorneys' fees, costs and whatever other relief is deemed just and proper, against each defendant in an amount greater than the jurisdictional minimum amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND (\$15,000.00) DOLLARS; and statutory punitive damages pursuant to Gen Stats. § 42a-110g(a) and attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to Gen Stats. § 42a-110g(d) (on the Fourth Count).

THE PLAINTIFF,

BY

PAUL A. SLAGER MICHAEL R. KENNEDY SILVER GOLUB & TEITELL LLP 184 ATLANTIC STREET STAMFORD, CT 06901

TO THE CLERK:

PLEASE ENTER THE FOLLOWING APPEARANCE FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

SILVER GOLUB & TEITELL, LLP 184 ATLANTIC STREET STAMFORD, CONNECTICUT 06901 (203) 325-4491; JURIS #058005